

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-51 were in the application. In the last office action, the former rejections of all of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103 for obviousness were continued.

The Examiner noted that the features upon which applicant had relied to distinguish over the cited prior art, namely, distributing analog signals together with digital signals, had not been recited in the claims. Accordingly, the claims have now been amended to cover the distribution of analog and digital signals.


More specifically, claim 1 now requires that the digital signals be demodulated and remodulated into a sole type of modulation. The remodulated digital signals and the analog signals are mixed and transmitted on the same network.

The cited references, whether considered individually or in combination, do not anticipate or render obvious the demodulation and remodulation of digital signals, and the mixing of the remodulated digital signals with analog signals, for distribution together in a universal signal distribution system as disclosed and claimed by Applicant. The rejections on art are, therefore, now believed to have been overcome.

Miscellaneous amendments have also been made to correct obvious typographical errors and for greater consistency with U.S. idiom and practice.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,



Howard F. Mandelbaum
Registration No. 27,519
Attorney for Applicant

HFM:cnt